| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 10/774,766 | PENG ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Ngoclan T. Mai | 1742 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Ngoclan T. Mai</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Thomas Rozsa</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 26 June 2007 | Time: <u>6:00 PM</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| 112 rejection | | |
| Claims discussed: 8-9 and 11 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: none | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Attorney agrees to amend claims 8-9, 11 and other claims to clearly define the claimed invention and put claims in proper format for allowance. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| • | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | , | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/ | Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |